



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,166	03/24/2004	Gregory J. Singerle JR.	048556/274149	4837
826 7590 06/23/2008 ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000				
EXAMINER RUBIN, BLAKE J				
ART UNIT 2157		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 06/23/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/808,166

Applicant(s)

SINGERLE, GREGORY J.

Examiner

BLAKE RUBIN

Art Unit

2157

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BLAKE RUBIN.(3) Andrew Spence.(2) Yves Dalencourt.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 6/19/2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-97.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gardner (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0013904).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided a brief overview of his interpretation of the claims, and potential distinctions with Gardner. Examiner elaborated on the current grounds for rejection. Applicant and examiner clarified the independence of labels being unknown to the user and the order in which the labels are presented to the user.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yves Dalencourt/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2157
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.